

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439
2 dbergeson@be-law.com
3 JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463
4 jfowler@be-law.com
5 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373
6 mmorton@be-law.com
7 BERGESON, LLP
8 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500
9 San Jose, CA 95110-2712
10 Telephone: (408) 291-6200
11 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

E-FILED: 10/3/2007

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
13 VERIGY US, INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

18 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
RE: BRIEFING AND HEARING
SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO COMPEL**

19 vs.

Judge: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd
Ctrm: 2

20 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;
21 WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON
22 TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;
23 and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a
24 California Limited Liability Corporation,
25 inclusive,

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007
Trial Date: None Set

26 Defendants.

(MODIFIED BY THE COURT)

STIPULATION

Plaintiff, Verigy US, Inc. ("Verigy"), by and through its counsel of record, Bergeson, LLP and Defendants Romi Omar Mayder, an individual, Wesley Mayder, an individual, Silicon Test Systems, Inc. a California Corporation, and Silicon Test Solutions, LLC ("Defendants") by and through their counsel of record, Mount & Stoelker, PC, do hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

Whereas the parties wish to resolve a discovery dispute prior to the hearing on the preliminary injunction, and request court approval of the following hearing and briefing schedule:

1. The hearing on Plaintiff's proposed motion to compel shall be heard on
19 10:00
October ~~XX~~ 2007 at ~~9:00~~ a.m. or as soon thereafter as the Court may hear the matter;
2. Plaintiff shall file and serve its motion to compel on Tuesday, October 2, 2007;
3. Defendants shall file and serve their opposition to the motion no later than October 9, 2007 at 5:00 p.m.
4. Plaintiff shall file and serve any reply and/or supplemental papers in support of its motion by October 12, 2007 at 5:00 p.m.

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

Dated: October 2, 2007

BERGESON, LLP

By: _____
Melinda M. Morton, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff Verigy

Dated: October 2, 2007

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

By: _____
Kevin M. Pasquinelli, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants

ORDER

(as modified by the court)

In accordance with the foregoing stipulation of the parties, and with good cause appearing therefor, the Court enters the Stipulation as an Order of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 3, 2007

By: 

Honorable Howard R. Lloyd
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT
JUDGE